



The Various Logics and Mechanisms of Youths Radicalization in France: Teenage Rebellion, Group Dynamics and Institutional responses

Fabien Carrié

Catholic University of Louvain

Centre Montesquieu d'étude de l'action publique

LLAKES International Conference - London November 16, 2018

The study: some markers

- 18 months (september 2016 december 2017).
- Access to the files of 133 minors, with guarantee of anonymity.
- About 60 are prosecuted in terrorism cases (departures in Syria, attempted attacks).
- The rest are prosecuted for apology of terrorism or reported by PJJ professionals for « radical » behavior or remarks during civil or criminal measures.
- 96 boys (72%) and 37 girls(28%).
- The survey system is completed by about 60 interviews with the professionals who have followed the minors, in order to objectify their writing strategies.
- Finally, it was possible to attend the hearings of 6 of these minors and to consult about 15 judgment files in the framework of a working group set up in 2015 at the Tribunal de Grande Instance of Paris, bringing together anti-terrorist magistrates, youth magistrates, educators and researchers.

Two criterion guided the organization of the collected material :

- 1. Is family regulation weak or strong?
- 2. Are minors integrated in groups outside their family (i.e. caught in group dynamics)?

	Weak regulation	Strong regulation
Weak integration		
Strong integration		

	Weak regulation	Strong regulation
Weak integration	Soothing radicality	
Strong integration		

	Weak regulation	Strong regulation
Weak integration	Soothing radicality	Rebellious radicality
Strong integration		

	Weak regulation	Strong regulation
Weak integration	Soothing radicality	Rebellious radicality
Strong integration	Agonistic radicality	

	Weak regulation	Strong regulation
Weak integration	Soothing radicality	Rebellious radicality
Strong integration	Agonistic radicality	Utopian radicality

	Utopian		Rebellious		Agor	nistic	Soothing	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
2 out of 2	30	49,2%	2	20%	8	19%	2	28,6%
1 out of 2	18	29,5%	4	40%	5	11,9%	2	28,6%
None	8	13,1%	4	40%	7	16,7%	1	14,2%
NA	5	8,2%	0	0	22	52,4%	2	28,6%
Total	61	100%	10	100%	42	100%	7	100%

First generation migrant parents

	Utopian		Rebellious		Agoı	nistic	Soothing		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
2 out of 2	22	36,1%	5	50%	4	9,5%	0	0	
1 out of 2	32	52,4%	4	40%	12	28,6%	4	57,1%	
None	5	8,2%	1	10%	10	23,8%	2	28,6%	
NA	2	3,3%	0	0	16	38,1%	1	14,3%	
Total	61	100%	10	100%	42	100%	7	100%	

Active parental couples

	Utopian		Rebe	Rebellious		Agonistic		Soothing	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Worker	31	50,8%	2	20%	7	16,7%	1	14,3%	
Employee	4	6,6%	1	10%	5	11,9%	1	14,3%	
Intermediate professions	1	1,6%	2	20%	0	0	0	0	
Artisan, trader, business owner	7	11,5%	0	0	2	4,8%	0	0	
Senior executives, intellectual professions	2	3,3%	3	30%	1	2,4%	0	0	
Inactive	5	8,2%	1	10%	0	0	0	0	
NA	11	18%	1	10%	27	64,3%	5	71,4%	
Total	61	100%	10	100%	42	100%	7	100%	

Socio-Professional category of the father

	Utopian		Rebel	lious	Agor	nistic	Soothing	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Yes	7	11,5%	1	10%	35	83,3%	1	14,3%
No	54	88,5%	9	90%	7	16,7%	6	85,7%
Total	61	100%	10	100%	42	100%	7	100%

Minors known for delinquency before the reporting for radicalization

	Utopian		Rebellious		Agor	nistic	Soothing	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Yes	9	14,8%	2	20%	23	54,8%	3	42,9%
No	52	85,2%	8	80%	19	45,2%	4	57,1%
Total	61	100%	10	100%	42	100%	7	100%

Minors known by social services before the reporting for radicalization

	Utopian		Rebellious		Agonistic		Soothing	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
« Radical » behaviour or remarks	2	5%	5	12%	31	76%	3	7%
Glorification of terrorism	0	0%	2	14%	11	79%	1	7%
Preparation of terrorist attacks, violences, participation in jihadiists networks on the internet	40	89%	3	7%	0	0%	2	4%
Departure to Syria or attempted departure to Syria	33	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total	75	56%	10	8%	42	32%	6	5%

Distribution of the facts for which the teenagers are reported